-1-

Document 1

Filed 03/20/2008

Page 1 of 17

Case 3:08-cv-01552-PJH

30 44 SEC. N

Emeryville Police Department in connection with a shoplifting incident that occurred at a Victoria's Secret store in the City of Emeryville, California.

- 2. The police officers wrongfully arrested Plaintiffs on the basis of a false report made by an employee of Victoria's Secret, Claudia Soto. In fact, Plaintiffs had not patronized the Victoria's Secret store in question and a subsequent investigation, which included an embarrassing and humiliating in-field line up in full public view and a thorough search of Plaintiffs' vehicle revealed that Plaintiffs were innocent.
- 3. To vindicate their rights and to discourage such incidents of racial profiling and violence against racial minorities, Plaintiffs bring this action pursuant to 42 U.S. §§ 1983 and 1988, the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitutions, and the laws of the State of California.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER is an African-American female and a resident of Alameda County. She is a graduate of University of California Los Angeles and is an employee of the Oakland Unified School District.
- Plaintiff MITCHELL SLAUGHTER is an African-American male and a resident of Alameda County. He is the owner and operator of a bail bond business located in Alameda County.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant CITY OF EMERYVILLE is a municipal corporation that owns, operates, and governs Defendant EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT pursuant to the laws of the State of California.
- 7. On information and belief, Defendants Officer E. WHITE ("WHITE") (#307), and Officer S. ANDRETICH ("ANDRETICH") (#339) are and at all times material to this complaint were employees of Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE and EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT.
- 8. On information and belief, Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE and EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT are and at all times material to this complaint were responsible for the employment, training, supervision, and discipline of Defendants WHITE

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

and ANDRETICH.

- 9. On information and belief, Defendants WHITE and ANDRETICH, sued here in their individual and official capacities, were duly employed, appointed and acting as officers of the Emeryville Police Department, acting under color of law to wit, under color of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs and usages of the State of California and/or the City of Emeryville. On information and belief, Defendants WHITE and ANDRETICH were also acting pursuant to a customary plan between Defendants EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT and VICTORIA'S SECRET in apprehending subjects suspected of committing crimes at the Victoria's Secret store in Emeryville.
- 10. On information and belief, Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET is a private entity and a national retailer of women's wear. VICTORIA'S SECRET does business throughout the State of California.
- 11. On information and belief, Defendant CLAUDIA SOTO ("SOTO") is a resident of the State of California, and at all time material to this complaint was employed by Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET.
- 12. On information and belief, Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET was at all times material to this complaint responsible for the employment, training, supervision, and discipline of Defendant SOTO. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant SOTO was acting within the scope of her employment.
- On information and belief, Defendants VICTORIA'S SECRET and SOTO 13. were acting pursuant to a customary plan between the EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT and VICTORIA'S SECRET in apprehending subjects suspected of committing crimes in the Victoria's Secret store in Emeryville.
- 14. On information and belief, Defendant ABERCROMBIE & FITCH is a private entity and a national clothing retailer. ABERCROMBIE & FITCH does business throughout the State of California.
- 15. On information and belief, Defendant MELISSA BASFIELD ("BASFIELD") is a resident of the State of California, and at all time material to this complaint was employed

by Defendant ABERCROMBIE & FITCH. On the date in question, Defendant BASFIELD was working at the Abercrombie & Fitch store located in the Bay Street mall in Emeryville, California.

- 16. On information and belief, Defendant ABERCROMBIE & FITCH was at all times material to this complaint responsible for the employment, training, supervision, and discipline of Defendant BASFIELD. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant BASFIELD was acting within the scope of her employment.
- 17. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that each Defendant was the agent or employee of each of the other Defendants, and in perpetrating the wrongful conduct detailed in this complaint, acted within the scope of such agency or employment, or ratified the acts of the other.
- 18. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that each of the Defendants caused, and is responsible for the below-described unlawful conduct and resulting injuries in that each of the Defendants participated in the unlawful conduct or acted jointly with others who did so; authorized, acquiesced in or set in motion actions that led to the unlawful conduct; failed to take action to prevent the unlawful conduct; failed and refused with deliberate indifference to Plaintiffs' rights to initiate and maintain adequate training and supervision; failed to prevent further harm to Plaintiffs; and/or ratified the unlawful conduct and actions by employees and agents under Defendants' direction and control, including failure to take remedial action.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 19. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the parties and this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 20. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1367(a), this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims brought in this action, which arise from a common nucleus of operative facts and from the same transactions and occurrences raised in Plaintiffs' federal causes of action.
- 21. Venue lies in United States District Court for the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 84 and 1391 because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims alleged in this complaint arose in the County of Alameda and one or

Case 3:08-cv-01552-PJH

8

6

10

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

more defendants reside in the County of Alameda or conduct business in the County of Alameda.

### INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

22. The claims alleged herein arose in the County of Alameda. This action is properly assigned to the Oakland or San Francisco Division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California pursuant to Civil Local Rule, 3-2(d).

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 23. In the afternoon of March 24, 2007, KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER and her husband, MITCHELL SLAUGHTER were detained, arrested and imprisoned at gun point in the City of Emeryville, California by officers of the Emeryville Police Department in connection with a shoplifting incident that occurred at the Victoria's Secret store located in the Bay Street mall in Emeryville.
- Plaintiffs KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER and MITCHELL SLAUGHTER 24. were driving home after spending some time at the Bay Street mall in Emeryville when they were pulled over without lawful justification by Officer WHITE of the Emeryville Police Department. MITCHELL SLAUGHTER was driving and KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER was in the front passenger seat. Plaintiffs' detention occurred at a location approximately one mile from the Bay Street mall.
- 25. After enforcing the stop, Defendant WHITE exited his vehicle with his gun drawn and took aim at MITCHELL SLAUGHTER ("MITCHELL"). Officer White commanded MITCHELL to cut the engine off and told him to "get your fucking hands up." The officer also commanded the passenger, KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER ("KRISTINA"), to put her hands up.
- Plaintiffs were detained at gun point in their vehicle, a Black GMC SUV, until 26. the cover officer, Defendant ANDRETICH, arrived on the scene. When Defendant ANDRETICH arrived on the scene, he too drew his gun and aimed it at KRISTINA.

27.

- 5
- 7
- 9
- 13

- 26
- 27 28

his hands up. MITCHELL followed the officers' commands. 28. Meanwhile, KRISTINA watched in fear and horror. She could see that Defendant ANDRETICH had his gun trained on her.

keys out of the driver's side window, to exit the vehicle and walk backwards towards them with

The police officers commanded MITCHELL SLAUGHTER to toss the vehicle

- 29. MITCHELL was handcuffed and placed in one of the patrol vehicles.
- 30. Thereafter, KRISTINA was ordered out of the vehicle at gun point and commanded to walk backwards towards the police officers with her hands up. KRISTINA was also handcuffed and placed in a second patrol vehicle.
- 31. MITCHELL saw his wife being arrested at gun point. He too was traumatized and feared for his wife's safety and well being.
- 32. The police officers then searched Plaintiffs' vehicle and did not find any incriminating evidence. After completing their search of the vehicle, one of the officers informed MITCHELL that they had received information that the Victoria's Secret store in the Bay Street mall in Emeryville had been robbed. According to the officer, a Victoria's Secret employee informed the Emeryville Police Department that the suspects were two black females and that one of the suspects left the scene of the crime in Plaintiffs' vehicle.
- 33. KRISTINA and MITCHELL later learned that the alleged crime involving the two unidentified black females was in fact a shoplifting incident and not a robbery.
- KRISTINA and MITCHELL were handcuffed and imprisoned in Defendants' 34. patrol vehicles until the reporting party, Victoria's Secret store manager, Defendant CLAUDIA SOTO, arrived on the scene. When Defendant SOTO arrived on the scene, KRISTINA was taken out of the patrol vehicle in handcuffs and escorted to a public sidewalk next to a busy thoroughfare for an in-field line up that was conducted in full public view. SOTO told the officers that KRISTINA was not involved in the incident. Plaintiffs were subsequently released, approximately one hour after their initial detention.
- 35. Significantly, Defendant SOTO'S report to the police regarding Plaintiffs' involvement was false and was not based on SOTO'S personal knowledge. Plaintiffs had not

patronized the Victoria's Secret store on the date in question. Defendant SOTO relied on information given to her by Defendant BASFIELD, an employee of Defendant ABERCROMBIE & FITCH.

- 36. Defendant BASFIELD targeted Plaintiffs on the basis of assumptions and Plaintiffs' race. Defendant BASFIELD was outside the Abercrombie & Fitch store when she observed a black female walk past her carrying a bag. Defendant BASFIELD also observed Defendant SOTO behind the black female and immediately assumed that the black female had been involved in shoplifting. Defendant BASFIELD followed the black female and claims that the black female got into Plaintiffs' vehicle, a black GMC SUV.
- 37. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET store in the Bay Street mall has in the past and prior to Plaintiffs' unlawful detention and arrest, experienced incidents of theft. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that Defendant EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT has in the past detained and arrested subjects accused of committing thefts, burglaries and other property crimes at the Victoria's Secret store in Emeryville. However, Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET did not have surveillance cameras installed in its Emeryville store and did not employ security personnel to monitor its premises. Moreover, Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET prohibited its employees from detaining individuals suspected of shoplifting or committing other property crimes even if such individuals could be positively identified as suspects. Instead, store policy required employees to notify the police after the suspects left the store. Suspects were thereafter detained by the Emeryville Police Department on the basis of reports made by employees or agents of Victoria's Secret pursuant to a customary plan between Defendants EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT and VICTORIA'S SECRET, and without an independent investigation.
- 38. In this particular instance, Defendant SOTO claims to have recognized the two unidentified black females when they entered the store and before they allegedly engaged in shoplifting. The two black females were apparently involved in prior shoplifting incidents in the store. However, Defendant SOTO did not contact the police as it was against store policy to do so. She waited until the suspects left the store to contact the police.

5

7

9

11 12

13

1415

16

1718

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

### STATEMENT OF DAMAGES

- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' acts and omissions, Plaintiffs KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER and MITCHELL SLAUGHTER were unlawfully detained, searched, battered, arrested, and imprisoned. As a result of Defendants' acts and omissions, Plaintiffs sustained emotional distress, fear, humiliation, anxiety, and loss of physical liberty.
- 40. Defendants and each of them is liable for Plaintiffs' injuries and damages pursuant to Title 42 United States Code sections 1983; California Government Code sections 815.2, 815.6 and 820; and California Civil Code sections 51.7 and 52.1 as set forth below.
- 41. Defendants' acts and omissions were intentional, willful, malicious, reckless, and in conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' protected rights. As such and to deter future similar conduct by Defendants, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of punitive damages against Defendants.
- 42. Plaintiffs are further entitled to statutory damages and penalties pursuant to California Civil Code sections 52(b) and 52.1(b); and attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 1988 and California Civil Code sections 52(b) and 52.1(h), and other applicable statutes.
- 43. Pursuant to the requirements of the Government Tort Claims Act, Plaintiffs timely filed their notices of claim against the City of Emeryville. The City of Emeryville rejected Plaintiffs' claims by letter dated October 5, 2007.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 42 U.S.C. § 1983

### (Against Defendants WHITE, ANDRETICH and SOTO)

- 44. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below.
- 45. Defendants WHITE, ANDRETICH, and SOTO acted jointly and in concert with one another under color of law and deprived Plaintiffs of their constitutional rights, which include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) the right to be free from unreasonable detentions, searches, and seizures;
  - (b) the right to be free from unlawful arrest and imprisonment;
  - (c) the right to equal protection of the laws;

25

26

27

28

- (d) the right not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law;
- (e) the right not to be subject to unreasonable and unjustified force against one's person.
- 46. The rights set forth above are embodied in clearly established constitutional law pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- 47. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION 42 U.S.C. § 1983

### (Against Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE and EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT)

- 48. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below.
- 49. On information and belief, the unlawful conduct of Defendants WHITE and ANDRETICH was pursuant to and made possible by the customs, policies, practices, and/or procedures of the CITY OF EMERYVILLE and the EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, which include, but are not limited to, the following:
- engaging in, condoning, and/or failing to properly investigate or discipline racial (a) discrimination and other equal protection violations;
- engaging in, condoning, and/or failing to properly investigate or discipline (b) unreasonable detentions, searches, and seizures, use of excessive, unreasonable and unjustified force, false arrests, and due process violations;
- inadequately training and supervising officers, and failing to adopt and/or (c) enforce policies and procedures for the proper training, and supervision of officers;
- inadequately investigating and failing to adopt and/or enforce rules, regulations, (d) policies, and procedures for the proper investigation of and response to citizen complaints about officer misconduct.

8

50. The rights set forth above are embodied in clearly established constitutional law pursuant to the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

Document 1

- 51. As a result of the customs, policies, practices, and/or procedures listed above. Defendants WHITE, and ANDRETICH believed that their actions would not be monitored, investigated, or result in disciplinary action by their supervisors and would instead be tolerated and/or condoned.
- 52. The foregoing customs, policies, practices, and/or procedures constitute deliberate indifference on the part of Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE and EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT to Plaintiffs' constitutional rights.
- 53. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein. Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Against Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET)

- Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below. 54.
- 55. On information and belief, the policies, customs and practices of Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET violated Plaintiffs' clearly established constitutional rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.
- 56. Despite having knowledge of prior incidents of burglary, theft and other property crimes at its Emeryville store, Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET did not install surveillance cameras or employ security personnel to monitor its premises or apprehend criminal suspects. Instead, Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET instituted and maintained a policy that prohibited its employees from detaining individuals who were reasonably suspected of committing property crimes such as theft and burglary. Under Defendant's policy, employees were required to contact the EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT after criminal suspects left the store and mingled with pedestrian traffic at the mall. The policy of Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET was instituted and maintained without due regard for the constitutional rights of the vast

25

26

27

28

2 3 4

majority of members of the public who patronized the Bay Street Mall in Emeryville and who were not involved in criminal activity.

- 57. As a result of the customs, policies, practices, and/or procedures listed above. Defendant SOTO believed that her actions would not be monitored, investigated, or result in disciplinary action by her supervisors and would instead be approved, ratified, tolerated and/or condoned.
- 58. The foregoing customs, policies, practices, and/or procedures constitute deliberate indifference on the part of Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET to Plaintiffs' constitutional rights.
- 59. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

California Government Code § 815.6 - Breach of Mandatory Duty (Against Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE, EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHITE and ANDRETICH)

- Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below. 60.
- 61. Defendants violated Plaintiffs clearly established rights enacted pursuant to United States and California law, which include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (a) Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution - right to be free from unreasonable detentions, searches, and seizures;
- Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution right to due process (b) and equal protection of the laws;
- California Civil Code Section 43 right of protection from bodily restraint or (c) harm, from personal insult and from defamation;
  - (d) California Civil Code Section 51.7 - right to freedom from violence;
  - California Civil Code Section 52.1 (b) right to exercise civil rights. (e)
  - As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have 62.

**COMPLAINT** 

8

10

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

California Government Code § 815.2 and Respondent Superior Liability (Against Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE, EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, VICTORIA'S SECRET and ABERCROMBIE & FITCH)

- 63. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below.
- 64. Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE, EMERYVILLE POLICE
  DEPARTMENT, VICTORIA'S SECRET and ABERCROMBIE & FITCH are liable for
  injuries to Plaintiffs proximately caused by the acts and omission of their employees within the
  scope of their employment.
- 65. On information and belief, Defendants WHITE and ANDRETICH are and at all times material to this complaint were employed by Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE and EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, and the wrongful conduct attributed to said Defendants were caused by their acts or omissions in the scope of their employment with Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE and EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT.
- 66. On information and belief, Defendant SOTO is and at all times material to this complaint was employed by Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET, and the wrongful conduct attributed to Defendant SOTO was caused by her acts or omissions in the scope of her employment with Defendant VICTORIA'S SECRET.
- 67. On information and belief, Defendant BASFIELD is and at all times material to this complaint was employed by Defendant ABERCROMBIE & FITCH, and the wrongful conduct attributed to Defendant BASFIELD was caused by her acts or omissions in the scope of her employment with Defendant ABERCROMBIE & FITCH.
- 68. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

**COMPLAINT** 

1././

### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### False Imprisonment

## (Against Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE, EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHITE and ANDRETICH)

- 69. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below.
- 70. Acting within the scope of their employment with the CITY OF EMERYVILLE and EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, Defendants WHITE and ANDRETICH unlawfully detained, arrested and imprisoned Plaintiffs KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER and MITCHELL SLAUGHTER.
- 71. Defendants' warrantless detention and arrest of Plaintiffs was non-consensual, intentional and without lawful privilege.
- 72. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER and MITCHELL SLAUGHTER have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

### **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **Assault and Battery**

### (Against Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE, EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHITE and ANDRETICH)

- 73. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below.
- 74. Defendants WHITE and ANDRETICH assaulted and battered Plaintiffs
  KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER and MITCHELL SLAUGHTER. Defendants' conduct was
  intentional, nonconsensual, harmful, offensive and without lawful justification. Further,
- 75. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

Defendants' conduct caused Plaintiffs to be placed in fear of personal harm.

8

14

17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

### **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress**

(Against All Defendants)

- 76. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below.
- 77. The conduct of Defendants was outrageous and directed at Plaintiffs.

Defendants' conduct was intended to cause injury or was in reckless disregard of the probability of causing injury to Plaintiffs and did in fact cause Plaintiffs serious emotional distress.

78. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth below.

### NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

**Invasion of Privacy** (Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below. 79.
- 80. Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution recognizes privacy as an inalienable right. Defendants intentionally intruded on Plaintiffs' solitude, seclusion, private affairs or concerns in a manner that was highly offensive. Defendants intruded on Plaintiffs' zones of physical and sensory privacy by falsely accusing Plaintiffs of criminal conduct.
- 81. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth below.

### TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Civil Code § 46 - Slander Per Se (Against Defendants VICTORIA'S SECRET, SOTO, ABERCROMBIE & FITCH and BASFIELD)

- Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below. 82.
- 83. On March 24, 2007, Defendants falsely and without lawful privilege accused Plaintiffs of being involved in criminal activity.

**COMPLAINT** 

26

27

28

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1:	2
1.	3
1	4
1:	5
10	6
1′	7
18	8
19	9
20	0
2	1
22	2

84. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein. Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth below.

#### **ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

Violation of California Civil Code § 52.1(b)

(Against Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE, EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHITE, ANDRETICH, VICTORIA'S SECRET and SOTO

- 85. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below.
- 86. Defendants interfered with the exercise and enjoyment of Plaintiffs' clearly established rights under United States and California law, which include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (a) Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 13 of the California Constitution - right to be free from unreasonable detentions, searches, and seizures;
- Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and Article I, Section (b) 7 of the California Constitution - right to due process and equal protection of the laws;
- (c) Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution - fundamental right to privacy;
- California Civil Code Section 43 right of protection from bodily restraint or (d) harm, from personal insult and from defamation;
  - California Civil Code Section 46 Slander; (e)
  - (f) California Civil Code Section 51.7 - right to freedom from violence.
- Defendants acted jointly and pursuant to a customary plan in violating Plaintiffs' 87. clearly established rights under United States and California law by threats, intimidation and coercion.
- As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have 88. suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

5

11

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

### TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Civil Code § 51.7

(Against Defendants CITY OF EMERYVILLE, EMERYVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, WHITE, ANDRETICH, VICTORIA'S SECRET and SOTO)

- 89. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below.
- 90. Defendants violated Plaintiffs' right to be free from violence or threat of violence or intimidation by threat of violence on the basis of Plaintiffs' race or color.
- 91. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth herein.

### THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence and Negligence Per Se (Against All Defendants)

- 92. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations set forth above and below.
- 93. At all relevant times, Defendants owed Plaintiffs the duty to act with reasonable care and to refrain from:
  - (a) conducting unlawful detentions and arrests:
  - (b) violating the right to equal protection of the laws;
  - (c) violating the right to due process;
- (d) violating Section 43 of the California Civil Code, which provides in pertinent part that every person has the right of protection from bodily restraint or harm, from personal insult, and from defamation.
- 94. Defendants also owed Plaintiffs the duty to adequately train and supervise Defendants' employees, and to adopt and/or enforce policies and procedures for the proper hiring, training, and supervising of Defendants' employees.
- 95. By their acts and omissions, Defendants breached each of the foregoing duties owed to Plaintiffs. Further, it was reasonably foreseeable that such breaches of duty would cause Plaintiffs physical and/or emotional harm.

**COMPLAINT** 

1
 2
 3

3

5

7

6

9

8

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

11\_

96. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, the above stated damages in an amount according to proof, including attorney fees and costs, to remedy the unlawful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief as set forth below.

### JURY TRIAL DEMAND

97. Plaintiffs hereby request a jury trial in this action.

### RELIEF REQUESTED

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- 1. Compensatory damages according to proof against all defendants;
- 2. Special damages according to proof against all defendants;
- 3. Statutory damages and penalties pursuant to California Civil Code sections 52(b) and 52.1(b);
  - 4. Punitive damages in an amount according to proof against all defendants;
  - 5. Attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 1988;
- 6. Attorney fees and costs pursuant to California Civil Code sections 52(b) and 52.1(h); and
  - 7. Such other relief as the Court finds just and proper.

DATED: March 20, 2008

JIVAKA CANDAPPA, for Plaintiffs KRISTINA ENNIX SLAUGHTER

and MITCHELL SLAUGHTER

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

E-filing

for the

Northern District of Calif	fornia
Kristina Ennix Slaughter & Mitchell Slaughter   )	Sivil Action No. CO8-01552
Summons in a Civil .	Action
To: SEE ATTACHED. (Defendant's name)	
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 20 days after service of this summons on you (not on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose red Jivaka Candappa, Attorney at Law 46 Shattuck Square, #15 Berkeley, CA 94704	Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The
If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you must file your answer or motion with the court.	for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also
	Richard W. Wieking
MAR 2 0 2008	Name of clerk of court
Date:	CYNTHIA LENAHAN
	Deputy clerk's signature

(Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3).)

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### ATTACHMENT TO SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

Kristina Ennix Slaughter and Mitchell Slaughter vs. City of Emeryville et al.

### Names and Addresses of Defendants

- 1. City of Emeryville, 1333 Park Avenue, Emeryville, CA 94608
- 2. Emeryville Police Department, 2449 Powell Street, Emeryville, CA 94608
- E. White, Emeryville Police Department, 2449 Powell Street, Emeryville, CA 94608
- S. Andretich, Emeryville Police Department, 2449 Powell Street, Emeryville, CA
   94608
- 5. Victoria's Secret, 5672 Bay Street, Emeryville, CA 94608
- 6. Claudia Soto, Victoria's Secret, 2556 Somersville Road, Antioch CA 94509
- 7. Abercrombie & Fitch, 5680 Bay Street, Emeryville, CA 94608
- Melissa Basfield, Abercrombie & Fitch, One Stoneridge Mall Space # B-110,
   Pleasanton, CA 94588

▲ AO 440 (Rev. 03/08) Civil Summons (Page 2)

### **Proof of Service**

I declare under penalty of by:	perjury that I served the summons an	nd complaint in this case on	,
(1) personally delive	ering a copy of each to the individual	at this place,; or	
	f each at the individual's dwelling or a and is of suitable age and discretion	usual place of abode with	
(3) delivering a copy		pointment or by law to receive it whose name is; or	
(4) returning the sun	nmons unexecuted to the court clerk o	n	
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	<u></u> .
Date:		Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
	-	Server's address	